arXiv:1209.4801v2 [math-ph] 3 Oct 2012

# ON THE ADDITIVITY OF THE MINIMUM OUTPUT ENTROPY

**Norbert Riedel**

*Abstract:* It is shown that for real finite dimensional Hilbert spaces the additivity property of the minimum output entropy for quantum channels is always true.

## Introduction

Various quantities have been introduced in quantum information theory to measure the transmission of information through quantum channels. The property of additivity of the entropy in the setting of classical information theory led to the expectation that these quantities share this property with their classical precursor. (See [HG] for a recent survey of the subject.) This expectation, however, turned out to be too optimistic, at least for quantum channels which are defined over complex finite dimensional Hilbert spaces. After it had been shown in [H] that the additivity property fails in general, some considerable effort has been expended to rework the arguments that led up to the proof of this failure ([ASZ], [BH], [FKM]). In the sequel it will be shown that additivity holds for the minimum output entropy involving quantum channels wich are defined over real finite dimensional Hilbert spaces. By virtue of [S] this implies the strong superadditivity of the entanglement of formation, and hence also the additivity of the entanglement of formation, as well as the additivity of the Holevo capacity. An outline of the proof is as follows. For all quantum channels for which the entropy function defined on the pure states is sufficiently smooth, it will be shown that the entropy function solves a system of second order linear partial differential equations which depends on the dimension only. Every sufficiently smooth solution to the corresponding homogeneous system of P.D.E.'s turns out to be the sum of a quadratic form and constant. It follows that the entropy function is the sum of a particular solution of the system of P.D.E.'s which vanishes on the unit sphere, and a unique quadratic form. The eigenvalues of the symmetric matrix which determines this quadratic form are exactly the critical values of the entropy function. Thus, showing that the symmetric matrix affiliated with the tensor product of two channels is the Kronecker sum of the symmetric matrices affiliated with each "factor", in conjuction with a limiting argument that deals with channels which do not have a smooth entropy function, is sufficient to reach the desired conclusion.

## 1. Quantum channels and a system of linear P.D.E.'s

A quantum channel over $\mathbb{R}^n$ is defined to be a map $\Phi$ on the real positive smidefinite $n \times n$ matrices with trace equal to one, called density matrices, which is of the form

$$\Phi(\rho) = \sum_{k=1}^{p} M_k \rho M_k^t,$$

where the real $n \times n$ matrices $M_k$ satisfy the condition

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p} M_k^t M_k = \mathbb{I}_n$$

The minimum output entropy is defined to be the number

$$\mathcal{E}(\Phi) = \min(-tr(\Phi(\rho)\ln(\Phi(\rho))),$$

where the minimum is taken over all density matrices $\rho$. If $\Psi$ is a channel over $\mathbb{R}^m$, then $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ are said to satisfy the additivity of the minimum output entropy if

$$\mathcal{E}(\Phi \otimes \Psi) = \mathcal{E}(\Phi) + \mathcal{E}(\Psi),$$

where $\Phi \otimes \Psi$ is the tensor product of the quantum channels $\Phi$ and $\Psi$. Due to the concavity of the entropy on the density matrices, the minimization in the definition of the minimum output entropy can be restricted to rank 1 density matrices.

We define the entropy function for the channel $\Phi$ to be the function

$$f(\xi) = tr(\Phi(\xi\xi^t)\ln(\Phi(\xi\xi^t)),$$

on $\mathbb{R}^n$. We shall denote by

$$\nabla f(\xi) \,\text{and}\, \mathcal{H}f(\xi)$$

the gradient and the Hessian matrix of $f$, respectively, whenever they are defined. We call $f$ a smooth entropy function if $f$ is real analytic in an open neighborhood of the unit sphere $\mathcal{S}^{n-1}$

**1.1 Lemma** A smooth entropy function $f$ solves the following system of second order linear P.D.E.'s

(1.1)

$$\mathcal{H}f(\xi)\xi = \nabla f(\xi) + 4\xi$$

in an open neighborhood of $\mathcal{S}^{n-1}$.

**Proof** First we need to determine the gradient of the entropy function $f$. To this end we note that

$$f(\xi) = tr(\Phi(\xi\xi^t)\ln(\Phi(\xi\xi^t)) = \int_0^1 tr(\Phi(\xi\xi^t)(s\mathbb{I} + (\Phi(\xi\xi^t) - \mathbb{I})^{-1})^{-1}ds,$$

and therefore, denoting the $k$-th unit vector by $e_k$, and the adjoint channel by $\Phi^\sharp$ (i.e. $\Phi^\sharp$ is the adjoint operator of $\Phi$ with respect to scalar product affiliated with the Frobenius norm),

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_k}(\xi) = \int_0^1 tr(\Phi(e_k\xi^t + \xi e_k^t)(s\mathbb{I} + (\Phi(\xi\xi^t) - \mathbb{I})^{-1})^{-1}ds +$$

$$\int_0^1 tr(\Phi(\xi\xi^t)(s\mathbb{I} + (\Phi(\xi\xi^t) - \mathbb{I})^{-1})^{-1}(\Phi(\xi\xi^t) - \mathbb{I})^{-1}\Phi(e_k\xi^t + \xi e_k^t)(\Phi(\xi\xi^t) - \mathbb{I})^{-1}$$

$$\times (s\mathbb{I} + (\Phi(\xi\xi^t) - \mathbb{I})^{-1})^{-1}ds$$

$$= tr(\Phi(e_k\xi^t + \xi e_k^t) ln(\Phi(\xi\xi^t)) + \int_0^1 tr(\Phi(e_k\xi^t + \xi e_k^t)\Phi(\xi\xi^t)(s(\Phi(\xi\xi^t) - \mathbb{I}) + \mathbb{I})^{-2})ds$$

$$= 2tr(\Phi(e_k\xi^t)\ln(\Phi(\xi\xi^t)) +$$

$$tr(\Phi(e_k\xi^t + \xi e_k^t)\Phi(\xi\xi^t)(-(\Phi(\xi\xi^t) - \mathbb{I})^{-1}[s(\Phi(\xi\xi^t) - \mathbb{I}) + \mathbb{I})^{-1}]_0^1)$$

$$= 2tr(\Phi(e_k\xi^t)\ln(\Phi(\xi\xi^t)) +$$

$$tr(\Phi(e_k\xi^t + \xi e_k^t)\Phi(\xi\xi^t)(-(\Phi(\xi\xi^t) - \mathbb{I})^{-1}(\Phi(\xi\xi^t)^{-1} - \mathbb{I}))$$

$$= 2tr(\Phi(e_k\xi^t)\ln(\Phi(\xi\xi^t)) + tr(\Phi(e_k\xi^t + \xi e_k^t))$$

$$= 2tr(e_k\xi^t(\Phi^\sharp(\ln\Phi(\xi\xi^t)) + 2tr(\xi e_k^t)$$

$$= 2tr((\Phi^\sharp(\ln\Phi(\xi\xi^t)\xi)e_k^t) + 2\xi_k.$$

Hence,

$$\nabla f(\xi) = 2\Phi^\sharp(\ln\Phi(\xi\xi^t)\xi + 2\xi, \tag{1.2}$$

and forming the scalar product with $\xi$ on both sides yields

$$\langle \nabla f(\xi), \xi \rangle = 2\left\langle \Phi^\sharp(\ln\Phi(\xi\xi^t)\xi, \xi \right\rangle + 2\|\xi\|^2 = 2tr(\Phi^\sharp(\ln\Phi(\xi\xi^t)\xi\xi^t) + 2\|\xi\|^2$$

$$= 2tr(\Phi(\xi\xi^t)\ln\Phi(\xi\xi^t)) + 2\|\xi\|^2 = 2f(\xi) + 2\|\xi\|^2.$$

Taking the partial derivatives on both sides of the equation

$$\langle \nabla f(\xi), \xi \rangle = 2f(\xi) + 2\|\xi\|^2$$

with respect to $\xi_k$ for $k = 1, \ldots .n$, and combining the results, yields,

$$\mathcal{H}f(\xi)\xi + \nabla f(\xi) = 2\nabla f(\xi) + 4\xi,$$

which in turn yields (1.1) $\square$

**1.2 Lemma** If $f$ is real analytic in a neighborhood of $\mathcal{S}^{n-1}$, and $f$ solves the homogeneous system of second order P.D.E.'s

(1.3)
$$\mathcal{H}f(\xi)\xi = \nabla f(\xi),$$

then $f$ is the restriction of a function $Q + C$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$, where $Q$ is a quadratic form, and $C$ is a constant.

**Proof** Taking the partial derivatives on both sides of (1.3) with respect to $\xi_k$ yields

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k}(\mathcal{H}f(\xi)\xi) = [\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k}\mathcal{H}f(\xi)]\xi + \mathcal{H}f(\xi)e_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k}\nabla f(\xi) = \mathcal{H}f(\xi)e_k.$$

Hence,

(1.4)
$$[\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k}\mathcal{H}f(\xi)]\xi = \mathbf{0}, \text{for}\, k = 1, \ldots .n.$$

We choose a point $\eta \epsilon \mathcal{S}^{n-1}$ and expand $f$ into a power series in a sufficiently small cube $\mathcal{C}$ centered at $\eta$,

$$f(\xi_1, \ldots .\xi_n) = \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_n=0}^{\infty} c_{i_1\ldots i_n}(\xi_1 - \eta_1)^{i_1}\ldots .(\xi_n - \eta_n)^{i_n},$$

and we let

$$g(\xi_1, \ldots .\xi_n) = \sum_{i_1+\ldots,+i_n=3}^{\infty} c_{i_1\ldots i_n}(\xi_1 - \eta_1)^{i_1}\ldots .(\xi_n - \eta_n)^{i_n}.$$

Then (1.3) implies that $f - g$ is the restriction of a quadratic form on $\mathbb{R}^n$ plus an additive constant, and (1.4) implies

(1.5)
$$[\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k}\mathcal{H}g(\xi)]\xi = \mathbf{0}, \text{for}\, k = 1, \ldots .n.$$

We need to show that $g$ vanishes on $\mathcal{C}$. To this end we apply to the power series expansion of $g$ the differential operators

(1.6)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \xi_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k}\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j}\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}, 1 \leqslant i, k \leqslant n$$

We are now going to show by induction that the coefficients $c_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ with a degree of homogeneity $d = i_1 + \dots + i_n \geq 3$ vanish. For each monomial $(\xi_1 - \eta_1)^{i_1} \dots .(\xi_n - \eta_n)^{i_n}$ with a degree of homogeneity equal to three, there is exactly one differential operator $\mathcal{D}$ in (1.6) such that

(1.7)
$$\mathcal{D}(\xi_1 - \eta_1)^{i_1} \dots .(\xi_n - \eta_n)^{i_n} \neq 0.$$

It follows that the function $\mathcal{D}g$ has a power series expansion with a linear term whose coefficient is a positive integral multiple of $c_{i_1 \dots i_n}$. By (1.5) this coefficient has to be equal to zero. Now, by induction hypothesis, assume that all coefficients $c_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ with a degree of homogeneity less than or equal to some positive integer $d \geqslant 3$ are equal to zero. Then for each monomial $(\xi_1 - \eta_1)^{i_1} \dots .(\xi_n - \eta_n)^{i_n}$ with a degree of homogeneity equal to $d+1$ there is at least one differential operator $\mathcal{D}$ in (1.6) such that (1.7) holds. It follows that the function $\mathcal{D}g$ has a power series expansion with a term whose degree of homogeneity is equal to $d-1$, and whose coefficient is a positive integral multiple of $c_{i_1 \dots i_n}$. By (1.5) this coefficient has to be equal to zero. By induction we can conclude that the function $g$ vanishes, as claimed. We have thus shown that for every point $\eta$ in $\mathcal{S}^{n-1}$ there exists an open neighborhood containing $\eta$, such that $f$ restricted to that neighborhood is the restriction of a quadratic form on $\mathbb{R}^n$ plus an additive constant. Whenever two such neighborhoods have a nonempty intersection, the corresponding quadratic forms and the additive constants must be identical. Since $\mathcal{S}^{n-1}$ is compact, it can be covered by a finite set of open cubes $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots .\mathcal{C}_p$ centered at points $\eta_1, \dots .\eta_p \epsilon \mathcal{S}^{n-1}$, such that $\mathcal{C}_k \cap \mathcal{C}_{k+1} \neq \varnothing; k = 1, \dots, p-1$, and $f$ has a power series expansion about $\eta_k$ which converges in $\mathcal{C}_k$. We can therefore conclude that there has to be a single quadratic form $Q$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and a single constant $C$, such that the restriction of $Q + C$ to $\mathcal{S}^{n-1}$ coincides with the function $f$. $\square$

## 2. Proof of the additivity

The following decomposition for smooth entropy functions will be the gist of the argument.

**2.1 Proposition** For every smooth entropy function $f$there exists a unique quadratic form $Q$ such that

$$f(\xi) = \varphi(\xi) + Q(\xi),$$

for $\xi$ in a suitable neighborhood of $\mathcal{S}^{n-1}$, where $\varphi(\xi) = \|\xi\|^2 \ln(\|\xi\|^2)$.

**Proof** One checks that $\varphi$ is a particular solution of the system (1.1) in $\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Therefore, it follows from Lemma 1.1 that $f-\varphi$ restricted to a neighborhood of $\mathcal{S}^{n-1}$ is a real analytic solution of (1.3). Since, $\lim_{\xi\to\mathbf{0}} f(\xi) = \lim_{\xi\to\mathbf{0}}\varphi(\xi) = 0$, an application of Lemma 1.2 settles the claim. □

**Remark** If $A$ is the symmetric matrix determined by the quadratic form $Q$ in Proposition 2.1, then

$$A = \left(\Phi^\sharp(\ln\Phi(e_1e_1^t))e_1, \ldots, \Phi^\sharp(\ln\Phi(e_ne_n^t))e_n\right).$$

**2.2 Corollary** Every entropy function on $\mathcal{S}^{n-1}$ is the restriction of a quadratic form on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

**Proof** By Proposition 2.1 the claim holds for smooth entropy functions, because $\varphi$ vanishes on $\mathcal{S}^{n-1}$. Since for any quantum channel $\Phi$ the channel $\Phi_\varepsilon(\rho) = \frac{\varepsilon}{n}\mathbb{I}_n+(1-\varepsilon)\Phi(\rho); \varepsilon\epsilon(0,1]$ is smooth, any entropy function on $\mathcal{S}^{n-1}$ is the uniform limit of a sequence of smooth entropy functions. Since, by homogeneity and the polarization identity, the limit of a sequence of quadratic forms on $\mathcal{S}^{n-1}$ is again a quadratic form, the claim follows. □

**2.3 Lemma** Let $A$ be the symmetric matrix which determines the quadratic form $Q$ in Proposition 2.1, i. e. $A = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}Q(\xi)$.

(a) If $\xi\epsilon\mathcal{S}^{n-1}$ is a critical point for $f$, then $\Phi^\sharp(\ln\Phi(\xi\xi^t)\xi = f(\xi)\xi$

(b) An element  in $\mathcal{S}^{n-1}$ is a critical point for $f$ if and only if it is an eigenvector for $A$.

(c) If $\xi\epsilon\mathcal{S}^{n-1}$ is a critical point for $f$ then $A\xi = f(\xi)\xi$.

**Proof** By (1.2) and the Lagrange multiplier method, $\xi\epsilon\mathcal{S}^{n-1}$ is a critical point for $f$ if and only if

$$\Phi^\sharp(\ln\Phi(\xi\xi^t)\xi = \lambda\xi,$$

for some real number $\lambda$. It follows that,

$$\lambda = \lambda tr(\xi\xi^t) = tr(\Phi^\sharp(\ln\Phi(\xi\xi^t))\xi\xi^t) = tr(\Phi(\xi\xi^t)\ln\Phi(\xi\xi^t)) = f(\xi),$$

which settles part (a).

To prove part (b) we note that Proposition 2.1 implies

(2.1)

$$\nabla f(\xi) - \nabla\varphi(\xi) = 2A\xi.$$

Since $\nabla\varphi(\xi) = 2(1+\ln(\|\xi\|^2)\xi$ it follows from this identity that every critical point for $f$ is an eigenvector of $A$ and vice versa.

Finally, part (c) is an immediate consequence of part (a) in combination with (1.2) and (2.1). □

**2.4 Lemma** Let $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ be smooth quantum channels over $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{R}^m$, respectively, and let $A$ and $B$ be the symmetric matrices which are affiliated with the corresponding entropy functions on $\mathcal{S}^{n-1}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{m-1}$, respectively. Then the symmetric matrix which is affiliated with the entropy function of the quantum channel $\Phi \otimes \Psi$ is the Kronecker sum $A \otimes \mathbb{I}_m + \mathbb{I} \otimes B$.

**Proof** Denote the the entropy function for $\Psi$ by $g$. Let $\xi \epsilon \mathcal{S}^{n-1}$ and $\eta \epsilon \mathcal{S}^{m-1}$ be eigenvectors of $A$ and $B$, respectively. Combining the matrix identity

$$e^N \otimes e^M = e^{(N \otimes \mathbb{I}_m + \mathbb{I}_n \otimes M)}$$

for the particular choices $N = \ln(\Phi(\xi\xi^t))$ and $M = \ln\Psi(\eta\eta^t)$ with Lemma 2.3 yields, with some obvious identifications in the manipulations of the tensor products,

$$(\Phi\otimes\Psi)^\sharp((\ln(\Phi\otimes\Psi)(\xi\otimes\eta)(\xi\otimes\eta)^t))(\xi\otimes\eta) = (\Phi^\sharp\otimes\Psi^\sharp)(\ln(\Phi(\xi\xi^t))\otimes\Psi(\eta\eta^t))(\xi\otimes\eta)$$

$$(\Phi^\sharp\otimes\Psi^\sharp)(\ln(\Phi(\xi\xi^t))\otimes\mathbb{I}_m+\mathbb{I}_n\otimes\Psi(\eta\eta^t)))(\xi\otimes\eta) = (\Phi^\sharp(\ln\Phi(\xi\xi^t)\xi)\otimes\eta+\xi\otimes\Psi^\sharp(\ln\Psi(\eta\eta^t)\eta$$
$$= (f(\xi) + g(\eta)(\xi \otimes \eta) = (A \otimes \mathbb{I}_m + \mathbb{I} \otimes B)(\xi \otimes \eta).$$

Hence, tensoring an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors for $A$ with an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors for $B$ yields an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors for $A \otimes \mathbb{I}_m + \mathbb{I} \otimes B$ in such a way, that the claimed identity holds. □

**2.5 Theorem** If $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ are quantum channels over $\mathbb{R}^m$ and $\mathbb{R}^n$, respectively, then the minimum output entropy $\mathcal{E}$ is additive, i. e.

$$\mathcal{E}(\Phi \otimes \Psi) = \mathcal{E}(\Phi) + \mathcal{E}(\Psi).$$

**Proof** First assume that the two quantum channels in question are smooth. Let the matrices $A$ and $B$ be as in Lemma 2.4. Since the eigenvalues of the symmetric matrix affiliated with the entropy function of a quantum channel are exactly the critical values of the entropy function, and since the set of eigenvalues of the Kronecker sum $A\otimes\mathbb{I}_m+\mathbb{I}_n\otimes B$ is the sum of the set of eigenvalues of $A$ and the set of eigenvalues of $B$, it follows that the sum of the largest eigenvalues of $A$ and $B$ is equal to the largest eigenvalue of $A\otimes\mathbb{I}_m+\mathbb{I}_n\otimes B$. Hence the claimed additivity follows from Lemma 2.4. To see that the same conclusion holds true for arbitrary quantum channels, it suffices to note that the Kronecker sum of the limits of two convergent sequences of matrices is equal to the limit of the sequence of matrices whose terms are the Kronecker sums of the corresponding terms of the two given sequences, and therefore the claim follows from Corollary 2.2 □

**Remark** If $\Phi$ is a quantum channel over a complex finite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^n$, and $f$ is the corresponding entropy function on $\mathbb{C}^n$, then verbatim the same arguments lead to the conclusion that Proposition 2.1 remains valid for the restriction of $f$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$, in case that restriction is smooth, and Corollary 2.2 remains valid for the restriction of $f$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$, in case $\Phi$ is an arbitrary quantum channel.

## References

[ASZ] G. Aubrun, S. Szarek, E. Werner, "Hastings' additivity counterexample via Dvoretzky's theorem", Commun. Math. Phys. 305 (2011), 85-97

[BH] F. Brandao, M. Horodecki, "On Hastings' counterexamples to the minimum output entropy additivity conjecture", Open Syst. Inf. Dyn. 17 (2010), 31-52

[H] M. B. Hastings, "Superadditivity of communication capacity using entangled inputs", Nature Physics 5 (2009), 255-257

[HG] A. S. Holevo, V. Giovannetti, "Quantum channels and their entropic characteristics" arXiv:1202.6480 [quant-ph]

[FKM] M. Fukuda, C. King, D. Moser, "Comments on Hastings additivity counterexamples", Commun. Math. Phys. 296 (2010), 111-143

[S] P. W. Shor, "Equivalence of Additivity Questions in Quantum Information Theory", Commun. Math. Phys. 246 (2004), 453-472

E-mail: *nriedel@tulane.edu*

Department of Mathematics

Tulane University

New Orleans, LA 70118